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## Grading

- Lab: 60, $\geq 30$
- Exam: 40, $\geq 20$
- Final grade $\geq 50$
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- Functions as first-class values
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- Referential transparency
- Recursion
- Higher-order functions
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## Why functional programming?

- Simple evaluation model; equational reasoning
- Declarative
- Modularity, composability, reuse (lazy evaluation as glue)
- Exploration of huge or formally infinite search spaces
- Embedded Domain Specific Languages (EDSLs)
- Massive parallelization
- Type systems and logic, inextricably linked
- Automatic program verification and synthesis
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- Function: if $x$ is a variable and $E$ is a $\lambda$-expression, then $\lambda x$. $E$ is a $\lambda$-expression, which stands for an anonymous, unary function, with the formal parameter $x$ and the body $E$
- Application: if $E$ and $A$ are $\lambda$-expressions, then ( $E A$ ) is a $\lambda$-expression, which stands for the application of the expression $E$ onto the actual argument $A$.
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## Example 4.2 ( $\lambda$-expressions).

- $x \rightarrow$ variable $x$
- $\lambda x . x$ : the identity function
- $\lambda x . \lambda y . x$ : a function with another function as body!
- $(\lambda x . x y)$ : the application of the identity function onto the actual argument $y$
- $(\lambda x .(x x) \lambda x . x)$
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- $F V(x)=\{x\}$
- $F V(\lambda x . E)=F V(E) \backslash\{x\}$
- $F V\left(\left(E_{1} E_{2}\right)\right)=F V\left(E_{1}\right) \cup F V\left(E_{2}\right)$
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## Closed expressions

## Definition 4.9 (Closed expression).

An expression that does not contain any free variables.

## Example 4.10 (Closed expressions).

- $(\lambda x . x \quad \lambda x . \lambda y . x)$ : closed
- $(\lambda x \cdot x$ a) : open, since $a$ is free

Remarks:

- Free variables may stand for other $\lambda$-expressions, as in $\lambda x$.(( $+x$ ) 1).
- Before evaluation, an expression must be brought to the closed form.
- The substitution process must terminate.
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 ( $\lambda x . \lambda y . x y$ )
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## Example 5.6 ( $\alpha$-conversion).

- $\lambda x . y \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda y \cdot y_{[y / x]} \rightarrow \lambda y . y:$ Wrong!
- $\lambda x . \lambda y . x \rightarrow{ }_{\alpha} \lambda y . \lambda y \cdot x_{[y / x]} \rightarrow \lambda y . \lambda y . y:$ Wrong!
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## Example 5.7 ( $\alpha$-conversion).

- $\lambda x .\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y\end{array}\right) \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda z .\left(\begin{array}{l}z\end{array}\right):$ Correct!
- $\lambda x . \lambda x .\binom{x}{y} \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda y . \lambda x .(x y):$ Wrong! $y$ is free in $\lambda x .(x y)$.
- $\lambda x . \lambda y .(y x) \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda y . \lambda y .(y \quad y):$ Wrong!

The free occurrence of $x$ in $\lambda y$. $(y x)$ becomes bound, after substitution, in $\lambda y$. $(y y)$.

- $\lambda x . \lambda y$.(y y) $\rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda y . \lambda y .(y$ y) : Correct!
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y .\left(\begin{array}{ll}
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\end{array}\right) y\right) \lambda x \cdot x\right) \\
& \rightarrow\left(\lambda z .\left(\begin{array}{ll}
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\end{array}\right) \lambda x \cdot x\right) \\
& \rightarrow(\lambda x \cdot x \quad y) \\
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## Reduction

Examples

## Example 5.10 (Reduction).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - (( } \lambda x . \lambda y .(y x) y) \lambda x . x) \\
& \rightarrow(\lambda z .(z y) \lambda x . x) \\
& \rightarrow(\lambda x . x y) \\
& \rightarrow y \\
& \text { - (( } \lambda x . \lambda y .(y x) y) \lambda x \cdot x) \rightarrow^{*} y
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reduction

## Properties

- Reduction step = reduction sequence:

$$
E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2} \Rightarrow E_{1} \rightarrow^{*} E_{2}
$$

- Reflexivity:

$$
E \rightarrow{ }^{*} E
$$

- Transitivity:

$$
E_{1} \rightarrow^{*} E_{2} \wedge E_{2} \rightarrow^{*} E_{3} \Rightarrow E_{1} \rightarrow^{*} E_{3}
$$
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\stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} E \xrightarrow{1} y & \\
\bullet & \xrightarrow{2} E \xrightarrow{2} E \xrightarrow{2^{n_{1}}} y
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- E has a nonterminating reduction sequence, but still has a normal form, $y . E$ is reducible, $\Omega$ is not.
- The length of terminating reduction sequences is unbounded.


## Questions

1. When does the computation terminate?

Does it always?

- NO

2. Does the answer depend on the reduction sequence?
3. If the computation terminates for distinct reduction sequences, do we always get the same result?
4. If the result is unique, how do we safely obtain it?

## Questions

1. When does the computation terminate?

Does it always?

- NO

2. Does the answer depend on the reduction sequence?

- YES

3. If the computation terminates for distinct reduction sequences, do we always get the same result?
4. If the result is unique, how do we safely obtain it?

## Normal form uniqueness

Results

## Theorem 6.7 (Church-Rosser / diamond).

 If $E \rightarrow{ }^{*} E_{1}$ and $E \rightarrow{ }^{*} E_{2}$, then there is an $E_{3}$ such that $E_{1} \rightarrow^{*} E_{3}$ and $E_{2} \rightarrow^{*} E_{3}$.

## Normal form uniqueness

## Results

## Theorem 6.7 (Church-Rosser / diamond).

If $E \rightarrow{ }^{*} E_{1}$ and $E \rightarrow{ }^{*} E_{2}$, then there is an $E_{3}$ such that $E_{1} \rightarrow{ }^{*} E_{3}$ and $E_{2} \rightarrow{ }^{*} E_{3}$.


## Corollary 6.8 (Normal form uniqueness).

If an expression is reducible, its normal form is unique. It corresponds to the value of that expression.

## Normal form uniqueness

Examples

## Example 6.9 (Normal form uniqueness).

$$
(\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot(x y)(\lambda x \cdot x y))
$$

## Normal form uniqueness

## Examples

## Example 6.9 (Normal form uniqueness).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot(x y)(\lambda x \cdot x y)) \\
& \bullet \rightarrow \lambda z \cdot((\lambda x . x y) z) \rightarrow \lambda z \cdot(y z)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normal form uniqueness

## Examples

## Example 6.9 (Normal form uniqueness).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\lambda x . \lambda y .\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)(\lambda x . x y)\right) \\
\mapsto & \rightarrow \lambda z .((\lambda x . x y) z) \rightarrow \lambda z .\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & z
\end{array}\right) \\
\mapsto & \rightarrow(\lambda x . \lambda y .(x y) y) \rightarrow \lambda w .(y w)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normal form uniqueness

## Examples

## Example 6.9 (Normal form uniqueness).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \lambda x . \lambda y .(x y)(\lambda x . x y)) \\
& -\rightarrow \lambda z .\left((\lambda x . x \text { y) } z) \rightarrow \lambda z .(y z) \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda a .(y a)\right. \\
& \rightarrow(\lambda x . \lambda y .(x y) y) \rightarrow \lambda w .(y w) \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda a .(y a)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normal form uniqueness

## Examples

## Example 6.9 (Normal form uniqueness).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \lambda x . \lambda y .(x y)(\lambda x . x y)) \\
& -\rightarrow \lambda z .\left(\left(\lambda x . x \text { y) z) } \rightarrow \lambda z .\left(\begin{array}{l}
y \\
z)
\end{array} \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda a .(y \text { a) }\right.\right.\right. \\
& \rightarrow\left(\lambda x . \lambda y .\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y)
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \lambda w .(y w) \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda a .(y a)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

- Normal form: class of expressions, equivalent under systematic relabeling


## Normal form uniqueness

## Examples

## Example 6.9 (Normal form uniqueness).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \lambda x . \lambda y \cdot(x y)(\lambda x . x y)) \\
& \text { - } \rightarrow \lambda z .\left((\lambda x . x \text { y) } z) \rightarrow \lambda z .(y z) \rightarrow_{\alpha} \lambda a .(y \text { a) }\right. \\
& \rightarrow(\lambda x . \lambda y .(x y) y) \rightarrow \lambda w .(y w) \rightarrow \alpha \lambda a .(y a)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Normal form: class of expressions, equivalent under systematic relabeling
- Value: distinguished member of this class


## Structural equivalence

## Definition 6.10 (Structural equivalence).

Two expressions are structurally equivalent iff they both reduce to the same expression.

Example 6.11 (Structural equivalence). $\lambda z .((\lambda x . x y) z)$ and $(\lambda x . \lambda y .(x y) y)$ in Example 6.9.
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## Computational equivalence

## Definition 6.12 (Computational equivalence).

Two expressions are computationally equivalent iff they the behave in the same way when applied onto the same arguments.

## Example 6.13 (Computational equivalence).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=\lambda y . \lambda x .\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & x
\end{array}\right) \\
& E_{2}=\lambda x . x
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\left(\left(E_{1} a\right) b\right) \rightarrow^{*}(a b)$
- $\left(\left(E_{2} a\right) b\right) \rightarrow^{*}(a b)$
- $E_{1} \not 力^{*} E_{2}$ and $E_{2} \nrightarrow^{*} E_{1}$ (not structurally equivalent)
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Does it always?
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2. Does the answer depend on the reduction sequence?

- YES
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Definitions and examples

Definition 6.14 (Left-to-right reduction step). The reduction of the outermost leftmost $\beta$-redex.

Example 6.15 (Left-to-right reduction). $((\lambda x . x \lambda x . y)(\lambda x .(x \quad x) \lambda x .(x x))) \rightarrow(\lambda x . y \Omega) \rightarrow y$

Definition 6.16 (Right-to-left reduction step).
The reduction of the innermost rightmost $\beta$-redex.
Example 6.17 (Right-to-left reduction). $((\lambda x . x \lambda x . y)(\lambda x .(x x) \lambda x .(x x))) \rightarrow(\lambda x . y \Omega) \rightarrow \ldots$

## Reduction order

Which one is better?

Theorem 6.18 (Normalization).
If an expression is reducible, its left-to-right reduction terminates.

The theorem does not guarantee the termination for any expression, but only for reducible ones!
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Does it always?

- NO

2. Does the answer depend on the reduction sequence?

- YES

3. If the computation terminates for distinct reduction sequences, do we always get the same result?

- YES

4. If the result is unique, how do we safely obtain it?

- Left-to-right reduction
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## Evaluation order

Definition 7.1 (Applicative-order evaluation).
Corresponds to right-to-left reduction. Function arguments are evaluated before the function is applied.
Definition 7.2 (Strict function).
A function that uses applicative-order evaluation.
Definition 7.3 (Normal-order evaluation).
Corresponds to left-to-right reduction. Function arguments are evaluated when needed.
Definition 7.4 (Non-strict function).
A function that uses normal-order evaluation.

## In practice I

Applicative-order evaluation employed in most programming languages, due to efficiency - one-time evaluation of arguments: C, Java, Scheme, PHP, etc.

## Example 7.5 (Applicative-order evaluation in Scheme).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\lambda(x)(+x \text { x) }) \frac{(+23))}{}\right.\right. \\
& \rightarrow((\lambda(x)(+x \mathrm{x})) 5) \\
& \rightarrow(+55) \\
& \rightarrow 10
\end{aligned}
$$

## In practice II

Lazy evaluation (a kind of normal-order evaluation) in Haskell: on-demand evaluation of arguments, allowing for interesting constructions

Example 7.6 (Lazy evaluation in Haskell).
$((\backslash x \rightarrow x+x)(2+3))$
$\rightarrow \underline{(2+3)}+\underline{(2+3)}$
$\rightarrow \underline{5+5}$
$\rightarrow 10$
Need for non-strict functions, even in applicative languages: if, and, or, etc.

## Summary

- Lambda calculus: model of computation, underpinned by functions and textual substitution
- Bound/free variables and variable occurrences w.r.t. an expression
- $\beta$-reduction, $\alpha$-conversion, reduction step, reduction sequence, reduction order, normal forms
- Left-to-right reduction (normal-order evaluation): always terminates for reducible expressions
- Right-to-left reduction (applicative-order evaluation): more efficient but no guarantee on termination even for reducible expressions!
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## Purpose

- Proving the expressive power of lambda calculus
- Hypothetical $\lambda$-machine
- Machine code: $\lambda$-expressions - the $\lambda_{0}$ language
- Instead of
- bits
- bit operations,
we have
- structured strings of symbols
- reduction - textual substitution
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## $\lambda_{0}$ features

- Instructions:
- $\lambda$-expressions
- top-level variable bindings: variable $\equiv_{\text {def }}$ expression e.g., true $\equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda x . \lambda y . x$
- Values represented as functions
- Expressions brought to the closed form, prior to evaluation
- Normal-order evaluation
- Functional normal form (see Definition 6.2)
- No predefined types!


## Shorthands

$-\lambda x_{1} \cdot \lambda x_{2} \cdot \lambda \ldots \lambda x_{n} \cdot E \rightarrow \lambda x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} \cdot E$

- $\left(\left(\ldots\left(\left(E A_{1}\right) A_{2}\right) \ldots\right) A_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(E A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{n}\right)$
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- Way of expressing the programmer's intent
- Documentation: which operators act onto which objects
- Particular representation for values of different types: 1, "Hello", \#t, etc.
- Optimization of specific operations
- Error prevention
- Formal verification
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## No types

How is correctness affected?

- Inability of the $\lambda$ machine to
- interpret the meaning of expressions
- ensure their correctness
- Every operator applicable onto every value
- Both aspects above delegated to the programmer
- Erroneus constructs accepted without warning, but computation ended with
- values with no meaning or
- expressions that are neither values, nor reducible e.g., ( $\left.\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right)$
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# No types 

- Enhanced representational flexibility
- Useful when the uniform representation of objects, as lists de symbols, is convenient
- Increased error-proneness
- Program instability
- Difficulty of verification and maintenance
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## So...

- How do we employ the $\lambda_{0}$ language in everyday programming?
- How do we represent usual values - numbers, booleans, lists, etc. - and their corresponding operators?
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## Definition

Definition 9.1 (Abstract data type, ADT).
Mathematical model of a set of values and their corresponding operations.

Example 9.2 (ADTs).
Natural, Bool, List, Set, Stack, Tree, ... $\lambda$-expression!
Components:

- base constructors: how are values built
- operators: what can be done with these values
- axioms: how
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## The Natural ADT

Axioms

- zero?
- $($ zero? zero $)=T$
- $($ zero? $($ succ $n))=F$
- pred
- $($ pred $($ succ $n))=n$
- add
- $($ add zero $n)=n$
- $(\operatorname{add}(\operatorname{succ} m) n)=(\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{add} m n))$
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## Providing axioms

- One axiom for each (operator, base constructor) pair
- More - useless
- Less - insufficient for completely specifying the operators


## From ADTs to functional programming

Exemple

- Axiome:
- $\operatorname{add}(z e r o, n)=n$
- $\operatorname{add}(\operatorname{succ}(m), n)=\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{add}(m, n))$
- Scheme:

```
1 (define add
2 (lambda (m n)
3 (if (zero? m) n
4
                                (+ 1 (add (- m 1) n)))))
```

- Haskell:

1 add $0 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}$
2 add (m + 1) $n=1+(a d d m n)$
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## From ADTs to functional programming

Discussion

- Proving ADT correctness
- structural induction
- Proving properties of $\lambda$-expressions, seen as values of an ADT with 3 base constructors!
- Functional programming
- reflection of mathematical specifications
- Recursion
- natural instrument, inherited from axioms
- Applying formal methods on the recursive code, taking advantage of the lack of side effects
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- Base constructors:
- T: Bool
- F : $\rightarrow$ Bool
- Operators:
- not: Bool $\rightarrow$ Bool
- and : Bool ${ }^{2} \rightarrow$ Bool
- or : Bool ${ }^{2} \rightarrow$ Bool
- if : Bool $\times T \times T \rightarrow T$
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## The Bool ADT

Axioms

- not
- $(\operatorname{not} T)=F$
- $(\operatorname{not} F)=T$
- and
- $($ and $T a)=a$
- $($ and $F a)=F$
- or
- $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { or }\end{array} \mathrm{a}\right)=T$
- $($ or $F a)=a$
- if
- (if $T$ a $b$ ) $=a$
- (if $F a b)=b$


## The Bool ADT

## Base constructor implementation

- Intuition: selecting one of the two values, true or false
- $T \equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \lambda x y . x$
- $F \equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \lambda x y . y$
- Selector-like behavior:
- $(T$ a $b) \rightarrow(\lambda x y . x$ a $b) \rightarrow a$
- $(F$ a $b) \rightarrow(\lambda x y . y$ a $b) \rightarrow b$
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## The Bool ADT

Operator implementation

- not $\equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \lambda x .(x F T)$
- $(\operatorname{not} T) \rightarrow(\lambda x .(x F T) T) \rightarrow(T F T) \rightarrow F$
- $(\operatorname{not} F) \rightarrow(\lambda x .(x F T) F) \rightarrow(F F T) \rightarrow T$
- and $\equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda x y .(x \quad y \quad F)$
- (and $T$ a) $\rightarrow(\lambda x y .(x y F) T a) \rightarrow(T$ a $F) \rightarrow a$
- (and $F a) \rightarrow(\lambda x y .(x$ y $F) F a) \rightarrow(F$ a $F) \rightarrow F$
- or $\equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda x y .(x$ T $y$ )
- (or $T$ a) $\rightarrow(\lambda x y .(x T y) T a) \rightarrow(T T a) \rightarrow T$
- (or $F a) \rightarrow(\lambda x y .(x T y) F a) \rightarrow(F T a) \rightarrow a$
- if $\equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda c t e .\binom{c}{t}$ non-strict!
- (if $T$ a $b) \rightarrow(\lambda c t e .(c t e) T$ a $b) \rightarrow(T a b) \rightarrow a$
- (if $F a b) \rightarrow(\lambda c t e .(c t e) F a b) \rightarrow(F a b) \rightarrow b$
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## The Pair ADT

Specification

- Base constructors:
- pair : $A \times B \rightarrow$ Pair
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## The Pair ADT

Implementation

- Intuition: a pair = a function that expects a selector, in order to apply it onto its components
- pair $\equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda x y s .\left(\begin{array}{ll}s & x \\ y\end{array}\right)$
- (pair a b) $\rightarrow(\lambda x y s .(s \times y) a b) \rightarrow \lambda s .(s a b)$
- $f s t \equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \lambda p .(p T)$
- $($ fst $($ pair a b) $) \rightarrow(\lambda p .(p T) \lambda s .(s$ a $b)) \rightarrow$ $(\lambda s .(s a b) T) \rightarrow(T a b) \rightarrow a$
- $s n d \equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda p .(p F)$
- (snd (pair a b)) $\rightarrow(\lambda p .(p F) \lambda s .(s$ a b) $) \rightarrow$ $(\lambda s .(s a b) F) \rightarrow(F a b) \rightarrow b$


## The List ADT

Base constructors and operators

- Base constructors:
- Operators:


## The List ADT

Base constructors and operators

- Base constructors:
- null : $\rightarrow$ List
- Operators:


## The List ADT

Base constructors and operators

- Base constructors:
- null : $\rightarrow$ List
- cons : A $\times$ List $\rightarrow$ List
- Operators:


## The List ADT

Base constructors and operators

- Base constructors:
- null : $\rightarrow$ List
- cons : A $\times$ List $\rightarrow$ List
- Operators:
- car : List $\backslash\{$ null $\} \rightarrow A$


## The List ADT

Base constructors and operators

- Base constructors:
- null : $\rightarrow$ List
- cons : A $\times$ List $\rightarrow$ List
- Operators:
- car : List $\backslash\{$ null $\} \rightarrow A$
- cdr : List $\backslash\{$ null $\} \rightarrow$ List


## The List ADT

Base constructors and operators

- Base constructors:
- null : $\rightarrow$ List
- cons : A $\times$ List $\rightarrow$ List
- Operators:
- car : List $\backslash\{$ null $\} \rightarrow A$
- cdr : List $\backslash\{$ null $\} \rightarrow$ List
- null? : List $\rightarrow$ Bool


## The List ADT

Base constructors and operators

- Base constructors:
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- cons : A $\times$ List $\rightarrow$ List
- Operators:
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## The List ADT

Axioms

- car
- $(\operatorname{car}($ cons e L) $)=e$
- cdr
- $(c d r($ cons e $L))=L$
- null?
- $($ null? null $)=T$
- $($ null? $($ cons e $L))=F$
- append
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- (append (cons e A)B) $=($ cons e (append $A B)$ )
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## Implementation

- Intuition: a list = a (head, tail) pair
- null $\equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda x . T$
- cons $\equiv_{\text {def }}$ pair
- car $\equiv_{\text {def }} f s t$
- $c d r \equiv_{\text {def }}$ snd
- null? $\equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \lambda L .(L \lambda x y . F)$
- $($ null? null $) \rightarrow(\lambda L .(L \lambda x y . F) \lambda x . T) \rightarrow(\lambda x . T \ldots) \rightarrow T$
- (null? $($ cons e $L$ ) $) \rightarrow(\lambda L .(L \lambda x y . F) ~ \lambda s .(s$ e $L)) \rightarrow$ $(\lambda s .(s$ e $L$ ) $\lambda x y . F) \rightarrow(\lambda x y . F$ e $L) \rightarrow F$
- append $\equiv_{\text {def }}$ $\lambda A B .($ if $(n u l l ? A) B(\operatorname{cons}(\operatorname{car} A)(\operatorname{append}(c d r A) B)))$
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Implementation

- Intuition: a number = a list having the number value as its length
- zero $\equiv_{\text {def }}$ null
- succ $\equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \lambda n$.(cons null $n$ )
- zero? $\equiv_{\text {def }} n u l l ?$
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## The Natural ADT

Implementation

- Intuition: a number = a list having the number value as its length
- zero $\equiv_{\text {def }}$ null
- succ $\equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \lambda n$.(cons null $n$ )
- zero? $\equiv_{\text {def }} n u l l ?$
- pred $\equiv_{\text {def }} c d r$
- add $\equiv_{\text {def }}$ append
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## Functions

- Several possible definitions of the identity function:
- $i d(n)=n$
- $i d(n)=n+1-1$
- $i d(n)=n+2-2$
- ...
- Infinitely many textual representations for the same function
- Then... what is a function? A relation between inputs and outputs, independent of any textual representation e.g.,

$$
i d=\{(0,0),(1,1),(2,2), \ldots\}
$$

## Perspectives on recursion

- Textual: a function that refers itself, using its name
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## Perspectives on recursion

- Textual: a function that refers itself, using its name
- Constructivist: recursive functions as values of an ADT, with specific ways of building them
- Semantic: the mathematical object designated by a recursive function
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## Implementing length

## Problem

- Length of a list:
length $\equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda L .($ if (null? L) zero $($ succ $($ length $(c d r L))))$
- What do we replace the underlined area with, to avoid textual recursion?
- Rewrite the definition as a fixed-point equation

Length $\equiv_{\text {def }} \lambda f L .($ if (null? L) zero $(s u c c(f(c d r L))))$
(Length length) $\rightarrow$ length

- How do we compute the fixed point? (see code archive)
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## Axiomatization benefits

- Disambiguation
- Proof of properties
- Implementation skeleton
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- Variable:

$$
\text { Var }::=\text { any symbol distinct from } \lambda, .,(,)
$$

- Expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Expr }::= & \text { Var } \\
\mid & \lambda \text { Var.Expr } \\
& (\text { Expr Expr })
\end{aligned}
$$

- Value:

$$
\text { Val }::=\lambda \text { Var.Expr }
$$

## Evaluation rules

Rule name:

$$
\frac{\text { precondition }_{1}, \ldots, \text { precondition }_{n}}{\text { conclusion }}
$$
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 Substitution- $x_{[e / x]}=e$
- $y_{[e / x]}=y, \quad y \neq x$
$-\langle\lambda x . e\rangle_{\left[e^{\prime} / x\right]}=\lambda x . e$
$-\langle\lambda y . e\rangle_{\left[e^{\prime} / x\right]}=\lambda y \cdot e_{\left[e^{\prime} / x\right]}, \quad y \neq x \wedge y \notin F V\left(e^{\prime}\right)$
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## Semantics for normal-order evaluation

Free variables

- $F V(x)=\{x\}$
- $F V(\lambda x . e)=F V(e) \backslash\{x\}$
- $F V\left(\left(e^{\prime} e^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=F V\left(e^{\prime}\right) \cup F V\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$
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Example 12.1 (Evaluation rules).
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((\lambda x . \lambda y . y \quad a) b)
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( $\lambda x . \lambda y . y$ a) $\rightarrow \lambda y . y \quad$ (Reduce)


## Semantics for normal-order evaluation

## Example

## Example 12.1 (Evaluation rules).

$$
((\lambda x . \lambda y . y \quad a) b)
$$

$$
\frac{(\lambda x . \lambda y . y a) \rightarrow \lambda y . y \quad(\text { Reduce })}{((\lambda x . \lambda y \cdot y \text { a) } b) \rightarrow(\lambda y . y b)}
$$

(Eval)

## Semantics for normal-order evaluation

## Example

## Example 12.1 (Evaluation rules).

$$
((\lambda x . \lambda y . y \quad a) b)
$$

$$
\frac{(\lambda x . \lambda y . y \quad a) \rightarrow \lambda y . y \quad(\text { Reduce })}{((\lambda x . \lambda y . y \text { a) } b) \rightarrow(\lambda y . y b)}
$$

(Eval)
$(\lambda y . y b) \rightarrow b \quad$ (Reduce)

## Semantics for applicative-order evaluation

Evaluation

- Reduce $(v \in V a l)$ :

$$
(\lambda x . e v) \rightarrow e_{[v / x]}
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Evaluation

- Reduce ( $v \in$ Val):

$$
(\lambda x . e v) \rightarrow e_{[v / x]}
$$

- Eval ${ }_{1}$ :

$$
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## Semantics for applicative-order evaluation

## Evaluation

- Reduce ( $v \in$ Val):

$$
(\lambda x . e v) \rightarrow e_{[v / x]}
$$

- Eval ${ }_{1}$ :

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{\left(e e^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(e^{\prime} e^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

- $E v a I_{2}(e \notin V a l):$

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{\left(\lambda x \cdot e^{\prime \prime} e\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda x \cdot e^{\prime \prime} e^{\prime}\right)}
$$

## Formal proof

Proposition 12.2 (Free and bound variables).
$\forall e \in \operatorname{Expr} \bullet B V(e) \cap F V(e)=\emptyset$

## Formal proof

## Proposition 12.2 (Free and bound variables).

$$
\forall e \in E x p r \bullet B V(e) \cap F V(e)=\emptyset
$$

## Proof.

Structural induction, according to the different forms of $\lambda$-expressions (see the lecture notes).

## Summary

- Practical usage of the untyped lambda calculus, as a programming language
- Formal specifications, for different evaluation semantics
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## Drawbacks of the absence of types

- Meaningless expressions e.g., (car 3)
- No canonical representation for the values of a given type e.g., both a tree and a set having the same representation
- Impossibility of translating certain expressions into certain typed languages e.g., (x x ), $\Omega$, Fix
- Potential irreducibility of expressions - inconsistent representation of equivalent values
$\lambda x .($ Fix $x) \rightarrow \lambda x .(x($ Fix $x)) \rightarrow \lambda x .(x(x($ Fix $x))) \rightarrow \ldots$
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## Solution

- Restricted ways of constructing expressions, depending on the types of their parts
- Sacrificed expressivity in change for soundness
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## Desired properties

## Definition 13.1 (Progress).

A well-typed expression is either a value or is subject to at least one reduction step.

## Definition 13.2 (Preservation).

The result obtained by reducing a well-typed expression is well-typed. Usually, the type is the same.

Definition 13.3 (Strong normalization).
The evaluation of a well-typed expression terminates.
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## Base and simple types

Definition 14.1 (Base type).
An atomic type e.g., numbers, booleans etc.
Definition 14.2 (Simple type).
A type built from existing types e.g., $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$, where $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are types.

Notation:

- $e: \tau$ : "expression $e$ has type $\tau$ "
- $v \in \tau$ : " $v$ is a value of type $\tau$ "
- $e \in \tau \Rightarrow e: \tau$
- $\boldsymbol{e}: \tau \nRightarrow \boldsymbol{e} \in \tau$
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## Typed $\lambda$-expressions

## Definition 14.3 ( $\lambda_{t}$-expression).

- Base value: a base value $b \in \tau_{b}$ is a $\lambda_{t}$-expression.
- Typed variable: an (explicitly) typed variable $x: \tau$ is a $\lambda_{\mathrm{t}}$-expression.
- Function: if $x: \sigma$ is a typed variable and $e: \tau$ is a $\lambda_{\mathrm{t}}$-expression, then $\lambda x: \sigma . e: \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ is a $\lambda_{\mathrm{t}}$-expression, which stands for ....
- Application: if $f: \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $a: \sigma$ are $\lambda_{\mathrm{t}}$-expressions, then $(f a): \tau$ is a $\lambda_{t}$-expression, which stands for $\ldots$.
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## Relation to untyped lambda calculus

Similarities

- $\beta$-reduction
- $\alpha$-conversion
- normal forms
- Church-Rosser theorem


## Differences

- $(x: \tau x: \tau)$ invalid
- some fixed-point combinators are invalid
- Variables:

$$
\text { Var }::=\ldots
$$

## Syntax

Expressions

- Variables:
Var
- Expressions:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Expr } & ::= & \text { Val } \\
& \mid & \text { Var } \\
& \mid & (\text { Expr Expr })
\end{array}
$$

## Syntax

## Expressions

- Variables:
Var
- Expressions:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Expr } & ::= & \text { Val } \\
\mid & \text { Var } \\
& & (\text { Expr Expr })
\end{array}
$$

- Values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Val }::= & \text { BaseVal } \\
\mid & \lambda \text { Var:Type.Expr }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Syntax

Types

- Types:
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\begin{aligned}
\text { Type }::= & \text { BaseType } \\
\mid & (\text { Type } \rightarrow \text { Type })
\end{aligned}
$$

## Syntax

- Types:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Type } & ::= & \text { BaseType } \\
& \mid & (\text { Type } \rightarrow \text { Type })
\end{array}
$$

- Typing contexts:

TypingContext ::= $\emptyset$
TypingContext, Var : Type

## Syntax

- Types:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Type } & ::= & \text { BaseType } \\
& \text { | } & (\text { Type } \rightarrow \text { Type })
\end{array}
$$

- Typing contexts:
- include variable-type associations i.e., typing hypotheses

TypingContext ::= $\emptyset$
TypingContext, Var : Type

## Semantics for normal-order evaluation

Evaluation

- Reduce:

$$
\left(\lambda x: \tau . e e^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{e}_{\left[e^{\prime} / x\right]}
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## Semantics for normal-order evaluation

Evaluation

- Reduce:

$$
\left(\lambda x: \tau . e \quad e^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow e_{\left[e^{e} / x\right]}
$$

- Eval:

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{\left(e e^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(e^{\prime} e^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

## Semantics for normal-order evaluation

Evaluation

- Reduce:

$$
\left(\lambda x: \tau . e \quad e^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow e_{\left[e^{\prime} / x\right]}
$$

- Eval:

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{\left(e e^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(e^{\prime} e^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

The type annotations are ignored, since typing precedes evaluation.

## Semantics

Typing

- TBaseVal:

$$
\frac{v \in \tau_{b}}{\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{b}}
$$

## Semantics

Typing

- TBaseVal:

$$
\frac{v \in \tau_{b}}{\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{b}}
$$

- TVar:

$$
\frac{x: \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: \tau}
$$

## Semantics

Typing

- TBaseVal:

$$
\frac{V \in \tau_{b}}{\Gamma \vdash V: \tau_{b}}
$$

- TVar:

$$
\frac{x: \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: \tau}
$$

- TAbs:

$$
\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash e: \tau^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: \tau \cdot e:\left(\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}\right)}
$$

## Semantics

Typing

- TBaseVal:

$$
\frac{V \in \tau_{b}}{\Gamma \vdash V: \tau_{b}}
$$

- TVar:

$$
\frac{x: \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: \tau}
$$

- TAbs:

$$
\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash e: \tau^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: \tau \cdot e:\left(\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}\right)}
$$

- TApp:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\left(\tau^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau\right) \quad \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash\left(e e^{\prime}\right): \tau}
$$

## Typing example

## Example 14.4 (Typing).

$$
\lambda x: \tau_{1} \cdot \lambda y: \tau_{2} \cdot x:\left(\tau_{1} \rightarrow\left(\tau_{2} \rightarrow \tau_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Blackboard!

## Type systems

## Definition 14.5 (Type system).

The set of rules and mechanisms used in a programming language to organize, build and handle the types accepted in the language.
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## Definition 14.5 (Type system).
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The type system of a language is sound if any well-typed expression in the language has the progress and preservation properties.

## Type systems

## Definition 14.5 (Type system).

The set of rules and mechanisms used in a programming language to organize, build and handle the types accepted in the language.

## Definition 14.6 (Soundness).

The type system of a language is sound if any well-typed expression in the language has the progress and preservation properties.
Proposition 14.7.
STLC is sound and possesses the strong normalization property.
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## Ways of extending STLC

\author{

1. Particular base types
}
2. $n$-ary type constructors, $n \geq 1$, which build simple types
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## The product type

## Algebraic specification

- Base constructors i.e., canonical values:
- $\tau * \tau^{\prime}::=\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$
- Operators:
- $\mathrm{fst}: \tau * \tau^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau$
- snd : $\tau * \tau^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}$
- Axioms (e: $\left.\tau, e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}\right)$ :
- $\left(f s t\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow e$
- ( snd $\left.\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow e^{\prime}$


## The product type

Syntax

## The product type

Syntax

$\begin{array}{rll}\text { Expr } & ::= & \ldots \\ \mid & & \text { (fst Expr) } \\ \mid & & \text { (snd Expr) } \\ \mid & & \text { (Expr, Expr) }\end{array}$

## The product type

Syntax

Expr

$$
::=\quad \ldots
$$

(fst Expr)
(snd Expr)
(Expr, Expr)
BaseVal
ProductVal

## The product type

Syntax

Expr

$$
::=\quad \ldots
$$

(fst Expr)
(snd Expr)
(Expr,Expr)
BaseVal
ProductVal
ProductVal ::= (Val, Val)

## The product type

Syntax

Expr
(fst Expr)
(snd Expr)
(Expr,Expr)
BaseVal
ProductVal
ProductVal $::=($ Val, Val $)$
Type
(Type* Type)

## The product type
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## Evaluation

- EvalFst:

$$
\left(f s t\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow e
$$

- EvalSnd:

$$
\left(s n d\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow e^{\prime}
$$

- EvalFstApp:
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## The product type

## Evaluation

- EvalFst:

$$
\left(f s t\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow e
$$

- EvalSnd:

$$
\left(s n d\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow e^{\prime}
$$

- EvalFstApp:

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{(f s t e) \rightarrow\left(f s t e^{\prime}\right)}
$$

- EvalSndApp:

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{(\text { snd } e) \rightarrow\left(\text { snd } e^{\prime}\right)}
$$

## The product type

Typing

- TProduct:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash\left(e, e^{\prime}\right):\left(\tau * \tau^{\prime}\right)}
$$

## The product type

Typing

- TProduct:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash\left(e, e^{\prime}\right):\left(\tau * \tau^{\prime}\right)}
$$

- TFst:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\left(\tau * \tau^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma \vdash(f s t e): \tau}
$$

## The product type

Typing

- TProduct:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash\left(e, e^{\prime}\right):\left(\tau * \tau^{\prime}\right)}
$$

- TFst:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\left(\tau * \tau^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma \vdash(f s t e): \tau}
$$

- TSnd:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\left(\tau * \tau^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma \vdash(\text { snd } e): \tau^{\prime}}
$$

## The product type

Typing example

## Example 15.1 (Typing).

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:((\rho * \tau) \rightarrow \sigma) \cdot \lambda y: \rho \cdot \lambda z: \tau .(x(y, z)) \\
\\
:((\rho * \tau) \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma
\end{array}
$$

Blackboard!
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## The Bool type

Algebraic specification

- Base constructors i.e., canonical values:
- Bool ::= True|False
- Operators:
- not: Bool $\rightarrow$ Bool
- and: Bool ${ }^{2} \rightarrow$ Bool
- or : Bool ${ }^{2} \rightarrow$ Bool
- if : Bool $\times \tau \times \tau \rightarrow \tau$
- Axioms: see slide 81


## The Bool type

Syntax

## The Bool type

Syntax

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Expr }::= & \ldots \\
& \mid \\
& (\text { if Expr Expr Expr })
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Bool type

Syntax

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Expr } & ::= & \ldots \\
& \mid & (\text { if Expr Expr Expr })
\end{array}
$$

## BaseVal ::= ... <br> BoolVal

## The Bool type

Syntax

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Expr } & ::= & \ldots \\
& \mid & \text { (if Expr Expr Expr) }
\end{array}
$$

## BaseVal ::= ... <br> BoolVal

BoolVal ::= True|False

## The Bool type

Syntax

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Expr } & ::= \\
& \text { | } \\
& \text { (if Expr Expr Expr) }
\end{aligned}
$$

# BaseVal ::= ... <br> BoolVal 

BoolVal ::= True|False

## BaseType

| Bool

## The Bool type

Evaluation

- EvallfT:

$$
\text { (if True e és) } \rightarrow e
$$

## The Bool type

Evaluation

- EvallfT:

$$
\text { (if True e e } e^{\prime} \text { ) } \rightarrow e
$$

- EvallfF:
(if False e $e^{\prime}$ ) $\rightarrow e^{\prime}$


## The Bool type

## Evaluation

- EvallfT:

$$
\text { (if True e e } e^{\prime} \text { ) } \rightarrow e
$$

- EvallfF:
(if False e $e^{\prime}$ ) $\rightarrow e^{\prime}$
- Evallf:

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{\left(\text { if } e e_{1} e_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\text { if } e^{\prime} e_{1} e_{2}\right)}
$$

## The Bool type

Typing

- TTrue:

$$
\Gamma \vdash \text { True : Bool }
$$

## The Bool type

Typing

- TTrue:

$$
\ulcorner\vdash \text { True : Bool }
$$

- TFalse:

$\ulcorner\vdash$ False: Bool

## The Bool type

Typing

- TTrue:

$$
\ulcorner\vdash \text { True : Bool }
$$

- TFalse:

$\ulcorner\vdash$ False: Bool

- TIf:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: B o o l ~ \Gamma \vdash e_{1}: \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_{2}: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash\left(\text { if } e e_{1} e_{2}\right): \tau}
$$

## The Bool type

Top-level variable bindings

## The Bool type
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- not $\equiv \lambda x$ : Bool.(if $x$ False True)
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## The Bool type

Top-level variable bindings

- not $\equiv \lambda x$ : Bool.(if $x$ False True)
- and $\equiv \lambda x$ : Bool. $\lambda y$ : Bool.(if $x$ y False)
- or $\equiv \lambda x:$ Bool. $\lambda y$ : Bool.(if $x$ True $y$ )
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## The $\mathbb{N}$ type

Algebraic specification

- Base constructors i.e., canonical values:
- $\mathbb{N}::=0 \mid(\operatorname{succ} \mathbb{N})$
- Operators:
$-+: \mathbb{N}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- zero?: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow$ Bool
- Axioms $(m, n \in \mathbb{N})$ :
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## The $\mathbb{N}$ type

Algebraic specification

- Base constructors i.e., canonical values:
- $\mathbb{N}::=0 \mid(\operatorname{succ} \mathbb{N})$
- Operators:
$-+: \mathbb{N}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- zero?: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow$ Bool
- Axioms $(m, n \in \mathbb{N})$ :
- $(+0 n)=n$
- $(+($ succ $m) n)=(\operatorname{succ}(+m n))$
- $($ zero? 0$)=$ True
- $($ zero? $($ succ $n))=$ False

The $\mathbb{N}$ type<br>Operator semantics

- How to avoid defining evaluation and typing rules for each operator of $\mathbb{N}$ ?
- How to avoid defining evaluation and typing rules for each operator of $\mathbb{N}$ ?
- Introduce the primitive recursor for $\mathbb{N}$, $\operatorname{prec}_{\mathbb{N}}$, which allows for defining any primitive recursive function on natural numbers
- How to avoid defining evaluation and typing rules for each operator of $\mathbb{N}$ ?
- Introduce the primitive recursor for $\mathbb{N}, \operatorname{prec}_{\mathbb{N}}$, which allows for defining any primitive recursive function on natural numbers
- Define the operators using the primitive recursor


## The $\mathbb{N}$ type

## Syntax

## The $\mathbb{N}$ type

Syntax

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Expr } & ::= & \ldots \\
& \mid & (\text { succ Expr }) \\
& & \left(\text { prec }_{\mathbb{N}} \text { Expr Expr Expr }\right)
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Syntax
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$$
\begin{array}{rll}
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& & \left(\text { prec }_{\mathbb{N}} \text { Expr Expr Expr }\right)
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## The $\mathbb{N}$ type

Syntax

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Expr } & ::= & \ldots \\
& \mid & (\text { succ Expr }) \\
& & \left(\text { prec }_{\mathbb{N}} \text { Expr Expr Expr }\right)
\end{array}
$$

BaseVal ::= ...
NVal

NVal $::=0$
(succ NVal)
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## The $\mathbb{N}$ type

## Evaluation

- EvalSucc:

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{(\operatorname{succ} e) \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{succ} e^{\prime}\right)}
$$

- EvalPrec $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{N}}$ :

$$
\left(p r e c_{\mathbb{N}} e_{0} f 0\right) \rightarrow e_{0}
$$

- EvalPrec ${ }_{\mathbb{N} 1}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ :

$$
\left(p r e c_{\mathbb{N}} e_{0} f(\operatorname{succ} n)\right) \rightarrow\left(f n\left(p r e c_{\mathbb{N}} e_{0} f n\right)\right)
$$

- EvalPrec $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{N} 2}$ :

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{\left(p r e c_{\mathbb{N}} e_{0} f e\right) \rightarrow\left(p r e c_{\mathbb{N}} e_{0} f e^{\prime}\right)}
$$
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## The $\mathbb{N}$ type

Typing

- TZero:

$$
\Gamma \vdash 0: \mathbb{N}
$$

- TSucc:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash(\operatorname{succ} e): \mathbb{N}}
$$

- TPrec $_{\mathbb{N}}$ :

$$
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## The $\mathbb{N}$ type

Top-level variable bindings

- zero? $\equiv \lambda n: \mathbb{N} .\left(\right.$ prec $_{\mathbb{N}}$ True $\lambda x: \mathbb{N} . \lambda y:$ Bool.False $\left.n\right)$
$-+\equiv \lambda m: \mathbb{N} . \lambda n: \mathbb{N} .\left(\operatorname{prec}_{\mathbb{N}} n \lambda x: \mathbb{N} . \lambda y: \mathbb{N} .(\right.$ succ $\left.y) m\right)$
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## Algebraic specification

- Base constructors i.e., canonical values:
- (List $\tau)::=]_{\tau} \quad \mid \quad($ cons $\tau($ List $\tau))$
- Operators:
- head : (List $\tau) \backslash\{[]\} \rightarrow \tau$
- tail : (List $\tau) \backslash\{[]\} \rightarrow($ List $\tau)$
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## The (List $\tau$ ) type

## Algebraic specification

- Base constructors i.e., canonical values:
- (List $\tau)::=[]_{\tau} \mid \quad($ cons $\tau($ List $\tau))$
- Operators:
- head : (List $\tau) \backslash\{[]\} \rightarrow \tau$
- tail : (List $\tau) \backslash\{[]\} \rightarrow($ List $\tau)$
- length : $($ List $\tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- Axioms ( $h \in \tau, t \in($ List $\tau)$ ):
- $($ head $($ cons $h t))=h$
- (tail $($ cons $h t))=t$
- (length []) $=0$
- $($ length $($ cons $h t))=(\operatorname{succ}($ length $t))$
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## The (List $\tau$ ) type

## Syntax

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Expr }::= & \text {. } \\
\text { | } & (\text { cons Expr Expr }) \\
\text { | } & \left(\text { prec }_{L} \text { Expr Expr Expr }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The (List $\tau$ ) type

## Syntax

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { Expr } & ::= & & \text {. } \\
\text { | } & (\text { cons Expr Expr }) \\
& & \left(\text { prec }_{L} \text { Expr Expr Expr }\right)
\end{array}
$$

BaseVal ::=
| ListVal

## The (List $\tau$ ) type

## Syntax

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Expr ::= ... } \\
& \text { (cons Expr Expr) } \\
& \text { (prec }{ }_{L} \text { Expr Expr Expr) } \\
& \begin{array}{ccl}
\text { BaseVal } & ::= & \ldots \\
& & \\
& \text { ListVal }
\end{array} \\
& \text { ListVal ::= [] } \\
& \text { (cons Value ListVal) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The (List $\tau$ ) type

## Syntax

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Expr ::= ... } \\
& \text { (cons Expr Expr) } \\
& \text { (prec } c_{L} \text { Expr Expr Expr) } \\
& \text { (List Type) }
\end{aligned}
$$
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- EvalCons:
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$$

- EvalPrec ${ }_{L O}$ :

$$
\left(\operatorname{prec}_{L} e_{0} f[]\right) \rightarrow e_{0}
$$

- EvalPrec ${ }_{L 1}(v \in$ Value $)$ :
$\left(\operatorname{prec}_{L} e_{0} f(\right.$ cons $\left.v e)\right) \rightarrow\left(f v e\left(\operatorname{prec}_{L} e_{0} f e\right)\right)$
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$$
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## The (List $\tau$ ) type

Typing

- TEmpty:

$$
\ulcorner\vdash[] \tau:(\text { List } \tau)
$$

- TCons:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}:(\text { List } \tau)}{\Gamma \vdash\left(\text { cons } e e^{\prime}\right):(\text { List } \tau)}
$$
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## The (List $\tau$ ) type

Top-level variable bindings

- empty? $\equiv \lambda I:($ List $\tau) .\left(\right.$ prec $_{L}$ True $\left.f I\right)$, $f \equiv \lambda h: \tau . \lambda t:($ List $\tau) \cdot \lambda r$ : Bool.False
- length $\equiv \lambda I:($ List $\tau) .\left(\right.$ prec $\left._{L} 0 f I\right)$,

$$
f \equiv \lambda h: \tau . \lambda t:(\text { List } \tau) . \lambda r: \mathbb{N} .(\text { succ } r)
$$
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## General recursion

- Primitive recursion
- induces strong normalization
- insufficient for capturing effectively computable functions
- Introduce the operator fix i.e., a fixed-point combinator
- Gain computation power at the expense of strong normalization
fix
Syntax
fix
Syntax


## Expr ::= ... <br> (fix Expr)

fix
Evaluation

- EvalFix:

$$
(f i x \lambda x: \tau . e) \rightarrow e_{[(f i x \lambda x: \tau . e) / x]} \quad=(f(f i x f))
$$

fix

Evaluation

- EvalFix:

$$
(f i x \lambda x: \tau . e) \rightarrow e_{[(f i x \lambda x: \tau . e) / x]} \quad=(f(f i x f))
$$

- EvalFix':

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{(f i x \quad e) \rightarrow\left(f i x \quad e^{\prime}\right)}
$$

- TFix:

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e:(\tau \rightarrow \tau)}{\Gamma \vdash(\text { fix } e): \tau}
$$

Example

## Example 15.2 (The remainder function).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { remainder }=\lambda m: \mathbb{N} . \lambda n: \mathbb{N} . \\
& \qquad((f i x \quad \lambda f:(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) . \lambda p: \mathbb{N} . \\
& \quad(\text { if } p<n \text { then } p \text { else }(f(p-n)))) m)
\end{aligned}
$$

The evaluation of (remainder 30 ) does not terminate.

## Monomorphism

- Within the types ( $\tau * \tau^{\prime}$ ) and (List $\tau$ ), $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ designate specific types e.g., Bool, $\mathbb{N}$, (List $\mathbb{N}$ ), etc.
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## Monomorphism

- Within the types ( $\tau * \tau^{\prime}$ ) and (List $\tau$ ), $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ designate specific types e.g., Bool, $\mathbb{N}$, (List $\mathbb{N})$, etc.
- Dedicated operators for each simple type
- fst $_{\mathbb{N}, B o o l}$, fst $_{B o o l, \mathbb{N}}, \ldots$
- [] $]_{\mathbb{N}}[]_{\text {Bool }}, \ldots$
- empty? ${ }_{\mathbb{N}}$, empty $?_{\text {Bool }}, \ldots$


## Contents

## Introduction

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus (STLC, System $F_{1}$ )
Extending STLC

Polymorphic Lambda Calculus (PSTLC, System F)
Type reconstruction
Higher-Order Polymorphic Lambda Calculus (HPSTLC,
System $F_{\omega}$ )

## Idea
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## Idea

- Monomorphic identity function for type $\mathbb{N}$ :

$$
i d_{\mathbb{N}} \equiv \lambda x: \mathbb{N} . x:(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})
$$

- Polymorphic identity function - type variables:

$$
i d \equiv \lambda X . \lambda x: X . x: \forall X .(X \rightarrow X)
$$

- Type coercion prior to function application:

$$
(i d[\mathbb{N}] 5) \rightarrow\left(i d_{\mathbb{N}} 5\right) \rightarrow 5
$$
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## Syntax

- Program variables: stand for program values

$$
\text { Var }::=\ldots
$$

- Type variables: stand for types

TypeVar $::=\quad .$.

## Syntax

- Expressions:
$\begin{array}{rll}\text { Expr }::= & \text { Value } \\ \mid & \text { Var } \\ \mid & \text { (Expr Expr }) \\ \mid & \text { Expr[Type] }\end{array}$


## Syntax

- Expressions:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Expr }::= & \text { Value } \\
\mid & \text { Var } \\
\mid & (\text { Expr Expr }) \\
\mid & \text { Expr[Type }]
\end{array}
$$

- Values:

> Value ::= BaseValue
> $\lambda$ Var: Type.Expr
> $\lambda$ TypeVar.Expr

## Syntax

- Types:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Type }::= & \text { BaseType } \\
\mid & \text { TypeVar } \\
\mid & & (\text { Type } \rightarrow \text { Type }) \\
\mid & \forall \text { TypeVar.Type }
\end{array}
$$

## Syntax

- Types:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Type }::= & \text { BaseType } \\
\mid & \text { TypeVar } \\
\text { | } & (\text { Type } \rightarrow \text { Type }) \\
\mid & \forall \text { TypeVar.Type }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Typing contexts:

TypingContext ::= Ø
TypingContext, Var : Type
TypingContext, TypeVar

## Semantics

Evaluation

- Reduce ${ }_{1}$ :

$$
\left(\lambda x: \tau . e \quad e^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow e_{\left[e^{\prime} / x\right]}
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$$

- Eval ${ }_{1}$ :
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## Semantics

## Evaluation

- Reduce ${ }_{1}$ :

$$
\left(\lambda x: \tau . e e^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow e_{\left[e^{\prime} / x\right]}
$$

- Reduce 2 :

$$
\lambda X . e[\tau] \rightarrow e_{[\tau / X]}
$$

- Eval ${ }_{1}$ :

$$
\frac{e \rightarrow e^{\prime}}{\left(e e^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(e^{\prime} e^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

- Eval $_{2}$ :

$$
\frac{\boldsymbol{e} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}}{\boldsymbol{e}[\tau] \rightarrow \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}[\tau]}
$$

## Semantics

Typing

- TBaseValue:

$$
\frac{v \in \tau_{b}}{\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{b}}
$$
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Typing

- TBaseValue:

$$
\frac{v \in \tau_{b}}{\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{b}}
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- TVar:
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## Semantics

Typing

- TBaseValue:

$$
\frac{v \in \tau_{b}}{\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{b}}
$$

- TVar:

$$
\frac{x: \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: \tau}
$$

- TAbs $_{1}$ :

$$
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## Semantics

Typing

- TBaseValue:

$$
\frac{v \in \tau_{b}}{\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{b}}
$$

- TVar:

$$
\frac{x: \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: \tau}
$$

- TAbs $_{1}$ :

$$
\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash e: \tau^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: \tau \cdot e:\left(\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}\right)}
$$

- TApp $_{1}$ :

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\left(\tau^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau\right) \quad \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash\left(e e^{\prime}\right): \tau}
$$
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Typing

- $\mathrm{TAbs}_{2}$ - polymorphic expressions have universal types:

$$
\frac{\Gamma, X \vdash e: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda X . e: \forall X . \tau}
$$

- $\mathrm{TApp}_{2}$ :
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\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \forall X . \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e\left[\tau^{\prime}\right]: \tau_{\left[\tau^{\prime} / X\right]}}
$$
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## Semantics

Substitution and free variables

- Expr ${ }_{[E x p r / V a r]}$
- Expr ${ }_{[\text {Type } / \text { TypeVar }]}$
- Type ${ }_{[\text {Type } / \text { TypeVar] }}$
- Free program variables
- Free type variables


## Typing example

## Example 16.1 (Typing).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma \vdash \lambda f: \forall X .(X \rightarrow X) . \lambda Y . \lambda x: Y .(f[Y] x) \\
\quad:(\forall X .(X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \forall Y .(Y \rightarrow Y))
\end{gathered}
$$

## Typing example

## Example 16.1 (Typing).
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\begin{aligned}
\text { double } & \equiv \lambda X . \lambda f:(X \rightarrow X) . \lambda x: X .(f(f x)) \\
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\begin{aligned}
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## Examples of polymorphic expressions

Example 16.4 (Reflexive computation).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { reflexive } & \equiv \lambda f: \forall X \cdot(X \rightarrow X) \cdot(f[\forall X \cdot(X \rightarrow X)] f) \\
& :(\forall X \cdot(X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \forall X \cdot(X \rightarrow X))
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 16.5 (Fixed-point combinator).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Fix } & \equiv \lambda X \cdot \lambda f:(X \rightarrow X) \cdot(f(F i x[X] f)) \\
& : \forall X \cdot((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Problem

- Polymorphic identity function, on objects of a type built using 1-ary type constructors e.g., List:
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- Polymorphic identity function, on objects of a type built using 1-ary type constructors e.g., List:
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C
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- C stands for a 1-ary type constructor, $X$ stands for a type of program values i.e., a proper type
- Monomorphic identity function for type (List $\mathbb{N}$ ):

$$
f[\text { List }][\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow \lambda x:(\text { List } \mathbb{N}) \cdot x:((\text { List } \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow(\text { List } \mathbb{N}))
$$

- How do we prevent erroneous situations e.g., $f[\mathbb{N}][\mathbb{N}], f[L i s t][$ List $]$ ?
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- Type not only program variables, but also type variables
- The type of a type: kind
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- The kind of a proper type: *
- The kind of a 1-ary type constructor: $(* \Rightarrow *)$
- The kind of an $n$-ary type constructor, $n \geq 1: k_{1} \Rightarrow k_{2}$
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## Kinds

Examples

## Example 18.1 (Kinds).

- $\mathbb{N}$


## Kinds

Examples

## Example 18.1 (Kinds).

- $\mathbb{N}:: *$
- List


## Kinds

Examples
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- $\mathbb{N}$ :: *
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## Kinds

Examples

Example 18.1 (Kinds).

- $\mathbb{N}:: *$
- List $::(* \Rightarrow *)$
- $f \equiv \lambda C::(* \Rightarrow *) \cdot \lambda X:: * \cdot \lambda x:(C X) \cdot x$ $f: \forall C::(* \Rightarrow *) . \forall X:: * .((C X) \rightarrow(C X))$
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## Levels of expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
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## Type equivalence

- Two syntactically distinct types:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1} \equiv((\text { List } \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow(\text { List } \mathbb{N})) \\
& \tau_{2} \equiv(\lambda X:: * \cdot((\text { List X) } \rightarrow(\text { List X) }) \mathbb{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Type equivalence
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& \tau_{2} \equiv(\lambda X:: * .((\text { List X) } \rightarrow(\text { List X))} \mathbb{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

- Semantically, they denote the same type i.e., they are equivalent: $\tau_{1} \equiv \tau_{2}$
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- Types:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Type }::= & \text { BaseType } \\
\mid & \text { TypeVar } \\
& (\text { Type } \rightarrow \text { Type) } \\
\mid & \forall \text { TypeVar :: Kind. Type } \\
: & \lambda \text { TypeVar :: Kind. Type } \\
\mid & \text { (Type Type) }
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$$

- Typing contexts:

TypingContext ::= $\emptyset$
TypingContext, Var : Type
TypingContext, TypeVar :: Kind

## Syntax

- Kinds:
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\end{array}
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$$
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## Semantics
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## Kinding example

## Example 18.2 (Kinding).

$$
\forall X:: * .(X \rightarrow((\text { List } X) \rightarrow(\text { Tree } X))):: *
$$

Blackboard!
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- Example: prove $\exists x . P(x)$
- Perhaps, proof by contradiction: assume $\neg \exists x . P(x)$ and reach a contradiction
- Assumption: $\exists x . P(x) \vee \neg \exists x . P(x)$ (law of excluded middle)
- Problem: possibly no actual evidence regarding either sentence i.e., some a s.t. either $P(a)$ or $\neg P(a)$ is true
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## Constructive logic

- Prove $\exists x . P(x)$ by computing an object $a$ s.t. $P(a)$ is true
- Not always possible
- However, not being able to compute a does not mean that $\exists x . P(x)$ is false
- Law of excluded middle - not an axiom in constructive logic
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## Constructive type theory

- Bridge between constructive logic and typed lambda calculus
- Correspondences:
- sentence $\leftrightarrow$ type
- logical connective $\leftrightarrow$ type constructor
- proof $\leftrightarrow$ function with that type
- Application: synthesize a program by proving the sentence that corresponds to its specification


## The Curry-Howard isomorphism



## Contents

## Constructive paradigm

Syntax and semantics

## Two views

## $a: A$

- Type-theoretic: "a is a value of type $A$ "
- Logical: "a is a proof of sentence $A$ "
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## Definitional rules

| Rule | Logical view | Type-theoretic view |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formation | How a connective re- <br> lates two sentences | How a type construc- <br> tor is used |
| Introduction/ <br> elimination | How a proof is derived | How a value is con- <br> structed |
| Computation | How a proof is simpli- <br> fied | How an expression is <br> evaluated |
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| Logical view | Type-theoretic view |
| :--- | :--- |
| Truth $(\top)$ | One-element type, containing the <br> trivial proof |
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## Other logic-type correspondences

| Logical view | Type-theoretic view |
| :--- | :--- |
| Truth $(\top)$ | One-element type, containing the <br> trivial proof |
| Falsity $(\perp)$ | No-element type, containing no <br> proof |
| Proof by induction | Definition by recursion |

## Logical conjunction / product type constructor I

- Formation rule ( $\wedge F$ ):


## $A$ is a sentence/ type $\quad B$ is a sentence/ type <br> $A \wedge B$ is a sentence/ type

- Introduction rule ( $\wedge$ I):

$$
\frac{a: A \quad b: B}{(a, b): A \wedge B}
$$

## Logical conjunction / product type constructor II

- Elimination rules $\left(\wedge E_{1,2}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{p: A \wedge B}{\text { fst } p: A} \quad \frac{p: A \wedge B}{\text { snd } p: B}
$$

- Computation rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f s t \quad(a, b) & \rightarrow a \\
\text { snd }(a, b) & \rightarrow b
\end{aligned}
$$

## Logical implication / function type constructor I

- Formation rule $(\Rightarrow F)$ :


## $A$ is a sentence/ type $\quad B$ is a sentence/ type $A \Rightarrow B$ is a sentence/ type

- Introduction rule ( $\Rightarrow I$ )
(square brackets = discharged assumption):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[x: A]} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{b: B}{\lambda x: A \cdot b: A \Rightarrow B}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Logical implication / function type constructor II

- Elimination rule $(\Rightarrow E)$ :

$$
\frac{a: A \quad f: A \Rightarrow B}{(f a): B}
$$

- Computation rule:

$$
(\lambda x: A . b a) \rightarrow b_{[a / x]}
$$

## Logical disjunction / sum type constructor I

- Formation rule ( $\vee F$ ):
$\frac{A \text { is a sentence/ type } \quad B \text { is a sentence/ type }}{A \vee B \text { is a sentence/ type }}$
- Introduction rules $\left(\vee l_{1,2}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{a: A}{i n l a: A \vee B}
$$

$$
\frac{b: B}{\operatorname{inr} b: A \vee B}
$$

## Logical disjunction / sum type constructor II

- Elimination rule ( $V E$ ):

$$
\frac{p: A \vee B \quad f: A \Rightarrow C \quad g: B \Rightarrow C}{\operatorname{cases} p f g: C}
$$

- Computation rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cases (inl a) } f g \rightarrow f \text { a } \\
& \text { cases (inr b) } f g \rightarrow g \text { b }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Absurd sentence / empty type I

- Formation rule ( $\perp F$ ):
$\bar{\perp}$ is a sentence/ type
- Introduction rule: none - there is no proof of the absurd sentence


## Absurd sentence / empty type II

- Elimination rule ( $\perp E$ )
(a proof of the absurd sentence can prove anything):

$$
\frac{p: \perp}{\operatorname{abort}_{A} p: A}
$$

- Computation rule: none


## Logical negation and equivalence

- Logical negation:

$$
\neg A \equiv A \Rightarrow \perp
$$

- Logical equivalence:

$$
A \Leftrightarrow B \equiv(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge(B \Rightarrow A)
$$

## Example proofs

- $A \Rightarrow A$
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## Example proofs

- $A \Rightarrow A$
- $A \Rightarrow \neg \neg A \quad$ (converse?)
- $((A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C$
- $(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow(B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow(A \Rightarrow C)$
- $(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow(\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A)$
- $(A \vee B) \Rightarrow \neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B)$

Universal quantification / generalized function type constructor I

- Formation rule ( $\forall F$ ) (square brackets = discharged assumption):

$$
[x: A]
$$

$A$ is a sentence/ type $\quad B$ is a sentence/ type ( $\forall X: A) . B$ is a sentence/ type

- Introduction rule ( $\forall /$ ):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[x: A]} \\
\vdots \\
b: B \\
(\lambda x: A) \cdot b:(\forall X: A) \cdot B
\end{gathered}
$$

Universal quantification / generalized function type constructor II

- Elimination rule $(\forall E)$ :

$$
\frac{a: A \quad f:(\forall x: A) \cdot B}{(f a): B_{[a / x]}}
$$

- Computation rule:

$$
((\lambda x: A) . b \quad a) \rightarrow b_{[a / x]}
$$

## Existential quantification / generalized product type constructor I

- Formation rule ( $\exists$ F)
(square brackets = discharged assumption):

$$
[x: A]
$$

$A$ is a sentence/ type $\quad B$ is a sentence/ type
$(\exists X: A) . B$ is a sentence/ type

- Introduction rule ( $\exists$ ) :

$$
\frac{a: A \quad b: B_{[a / x]}}{(a, b):(\exists X: A) \cdot B}
$$

## Existential quantification / generalized product type constructor II

- Elimination rules $\left(\exists E_{1,2}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{p:(\exists x: A) \cdot B}{\text { Fst } p: A} \quad \frac{p:(\exists x: A) \cdot B}{\text { Snd } \left.p: B_{[F s t} p / x\right]}
$$

- Computation rules:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Fst }(a, b) \rightarrow a \\
\text { Snd }(a, b) \rightarrow b
\end{array}
$$

## Example proofs

- $(\forall x: A) \cdot(B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow(\forall x: A) \cdot B \Rightarrow(\forall x: A) \cdot C$
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$-(\exists x: X) \cdot \neg P \Rightarrow \neg(\forall x: X) \cdot P \quad$ (converse?)


## Example proofs

- $(\forall x: A) \cdot(B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow(\forall x: A) \cdot B \Rightarrow(\forall x: A) \cdot C$
$-(\exists x: X) \cdot \neg P \Rightarrow \neg(\forall x: X) \cdot P \quad$ (converse?)
- $(\exists y: Y) \cdot(\forall x: X) \cdot P \Rightarrow(\forall x: X) \cdot(\exists y: Y) \cdot P \quad$ (converse?)

