# Session 06 Modern Offensive and Defensive Solutions

Security of Information Systems (SIS)

Computer Science and Engineering Department

November 8, 2023

## **Papers**

- ► HCFI: Hardware-enforced Control-Flow Integrity
- ► Losing Control: On the Effectiveness of Control-Flow Integrity under Stack Attacks

#### Attack and Defense

- attack: exploit vulnerabilities
- defense: prevent attacks, make attacks difficult, confine attacks
- attacker needs to find one security hole
- defender has to protect all security holes
- attacker invests time
- defense mechanisms incur overhead

## Attacker Perspective and Mindset

- find one vulnerability and build from that
- look for something that is valuable
- do reconnaisance, look for weak spots
- create an attack chain
- use every trick in the book
- start from existing knowledge

#### Defender Perspective and Mindset

- protect all entry points
- users are vulnerable, as well as technology
- use multiple defensive layers
- monitor, be proactive
- discipline, best practices are worth more than skills
- invest more on valuable targets

# Attacker Pros/Cons

- apart from ethical hackers, security researchers, it's a shady business
- you may not need skills, just a weak target and a database of attack vectors
- you may get caught
- you only need to find one spot
- possible great gains
- little time for fame (annonymous)
- the Internet gives you tons of targets
- but many targets give little more than fun

# Defender Pros/Cons

- less resources (time) than an attacker
- must think of everything
- is being paid constructively
- you have a purpose: keep the system running
- it never ends

## Honeypots

- baits
- a system appearing as vulnerable but closely monitored
- deflect, change attention and collect attacker information

# **Evolution of Application Security**

- buffer overflows
- shellcodes
- ► memory protection (DEP, WX)
- memory randomization
- canaries
- code reuse
- CFI (Control Flow Integrity)
- memory safety, safe programming languages
- static and dynamic analysis
- hardware enhanced security

# Fine-grained ASLR

- https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2498135
- ▶ issue with ASLR: memory disclosure / information leak
- one address leaked reveals all information
- do it at page level
- one leak may lead to other leaks that are chained together

#### SafeStack

- https://clang.llvm.org/docs/SafeStack.html
- part of the Code Pointer Integrity project: http://dslab.epfl.ch/proj/cpi/
- moves sensitive information (such as return addresses) on a safe stack, leaving problematic ones on the unsafe stack
- reduced overhead, protects against stack buffer overflows
- microStache:
  https://www.springerprofessional.de/en/
  microstache-a-lightweight-execution-context-for-in-pro
  16103742

#### Address Sanitizer

- ASan
- https:
  //research.google.com/pubs/archive/37752.pdf
- https://github.com/google/sanitizers/
- instruments code
- only useful in development
- detects out-of-bounds bugs, memory leaks

## CFI/CPI

- https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1102165
- https://www.usenix.org/node/186160
- http://dslab.epfl.ch/proj/cpi/
- coarse-grained CFI vs fine-grained CFI
- Control Flow Integrity, Code Pointer Integrity
- protect against control flow hijack attacks
- ► CPI is weaker than CFI but more practical (reduced overhead)
- CPI protects all code pointers, data based attacks may still happen
- ► CPS (Code Pointer Separation) is a weaker yet more practical for of CPI

#### Shellcodes

- difficult to inject due to DEP, small buffers and input validation
- preliminary parts of the attack may remap memory region
- shellcode may do stack pivoting and then load another shellcode
- alphanumeric shellcodes: still need a binary address to bootstrap

#### Code Reuse

- bypass DEP by using existing pieces of code
- code gadgets
- used in ROP (Return-Oriented Programming) and JOP (Jump-Oriented programming)

## Return-Oriented Programming

- gadgets ending in ret
- may be chained together to form an attack
- Turing-complete languge
- http://www.suse.de/~krahmer/no-nx.pdf
- https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2133377
- most common way of creating runtime attack vectors
- ▶ JOP: https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1966919
  - gadgets end up in an indirect branch not a ret

#### Anti-ROP Defense

- prevent atacks
  - SafeStack
  - ► CFI/CPI, ASan
  - Microsoft CFG, RFG
- detect attacks
  - Microsoft EMET (Enhanced Mitigation Experience Toolkit), ProcessMitigations module

#### Data-Oriented Attacks

- https://www.usenix.org/node/190963
- https://huhong-nus.github.io/advanced-DOP/
- overwrites data, not code pointers
- bypasses CFI

## **Evolution of OS Security**

- traditional main goals: functionality and reduced overhead
- recent focus on OS security: plethora of devices and use cases
- malware may easily take place among legitimate applications
- kernel exploits become more common
- OS virtualization, reduce TCB to hypervisor
- include hardware-enforced security features

## Mandatory Access Control

- opposed to Discretionary Access Control, where owner controls permissions
- system-imposed settings
- increased, centralized security
- difficult to configure and maintain
- rigid, non-elastic
- Bell-LaPadula Model: http: //csrc.nist.gov/publications/history/bell76.pdf
- ► SELinux, TrustedBSD, Mandatory Integrity Control

#### Role-Based Access Control

- ► https: //ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/485845
- https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=266751
- aggregate permissions into roles
- role assignment, role authorization, permission authorization
- useful in organizations

# Sandboxing

- assume application may be malware
- reduce potential damage
- confine access to a minimal set of allowed actions
- typically implemented at sandbox level (kernel enforced)
- ▶ iOS sandboxing, Linux seccomp

# Application Signing

- ensure application is validated
- used by application stores and repositories: GooglePlay, Apple AppStore
- device may not run non-signed apps

# iOS Jekyll Apps

- https:
  - //www.usenix.org/conference/usenixsecurity13/
    technical-sessions/presentation/wang\_tielei
- apparently legimitate iOS app
- bypasses Apple vetting
- obfuscates calls to private libraries (part of the same address space, fixed from iOS 7)
- once installed turns out to be malware
- exfiltrates private data, exploits vulnerabilities

# Jailreaking/Rooting

- ▶ https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3196527
- get root access on a device
- close to full control
- requires a critical vulnerability that gets root access
- tethered (requires re-jailbreaking after reboot) cs non-tethered
- essential for security researchers

#### Hardware-centric Attacks

- side channels
- undocumented hardware features
- imperfect hardware features that leak information
- proprietary features that get exploited
- hardware is part of TCB, reveals kernel memory

#### Sidechannel Attacks

- ▶ do not exploit vulnerabilities in applications or kernel code
- mostly use features such as

# x86 Instruction Fuzzing

- https://www.blackhat.com/docs/us-17/thursday/ us-17-Domas-Breaking-The-x86-Instruction-Set-wp. pdf
- https://github.com/xoreaxeaxeax/sandsifter
- https://i.blackhat.com/us-18/Thu-August-9/ us-18-Domas-God-Mode-Unlocked-Hardware-Backdoors-In-x8 pdf
- ▶ instruction of length N is placed at the end of the page
- creates a fuzzer for the x86 instruction set
- found glitches, hidden instructions

#### IME

- Intel Management Engine
- AMD Secure Techonology
- hardware features and highly proprietary firmware
- https://www.theverge.com/2018/1/3/16844630/ intel-processor-security-flaw-bug-kernel-windows-linux
- user space app could access kernel space memory access
- accused of being a backdoor to the system

#### rowhammer Attack

- https://users.ece.cmu.edu/~yoonguk/papers/ kim-isca14.pdf
- https://www.vusec.net/projects/drammer/
- https://googleprojectzero.blogspot.com/2015/03/ exploiting-dram-rowhammer-bug-to-gain.html
- https://www.blackhat.com/docs/us-15/materials/ us-15-Seaborn-Exploiting-The-DRAM-Rowhammer-Bug-To-Gai pdf
- hardware fault in DRAM chips
- constant bit flip pattern in certain rows can cause a flip in another row (not belonging to the current process)
- may be exploited to get root access

## Spectre and Meltdown

- https://meltdownattack.com
- https://www.usenix.org/system/files/conference/ usenixsecurity18/sec18-lipp.pdf
- application may access data from another application
- Meltdown exploits a hardware race condition allowing an unprivileged process to read privileged data
- Spectre does a side channel attack on speculative execution features of modern CPUs
- hardware fixes by Intel, software solutions

#### **KPTI**

- Kernel Page Table Isolation
- https://lwn.net/Articles/741878/
- place kernel in separate address space
- mitigation against hardware-centric attacks

# Hypervisor Attacks

- https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2484406
- attack/compromise hypervisor, get control of VMs
- may exploit a vulnerability in the hypercall interface or may exploit a hardware bug
- hyperjacking

# **Evolution of Web Security**

- path traversals, misconfigurations
- injections
- XSS
- misconfiguration
- unsafe communication
- application/language bugs

#### Secure Communication

- provide secure communication between client and server
- HTTPS everywhere
- Secure Cookie
- strong encryption, strong protocols

#### Attacks on Security Protocols

- https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7457
- https://www.mitls.org/pages/attacks
- flaws in protocol logic
- cryptographic design flaws
- implementation flaws

## Connection Downgrade

- part of man-in-the-middle attack
- negociate a connection with weaker protocol features than the current one
- ideally drop HTTPS alltogether
- ► POODLE (Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption)
- https://www.openssl.org/~bodo/ssl-poodle.pdf

## Advanced Injection Attacks

- LDAP, XPath injection
- blind SQL injection: content-based and time-based
- https://www.owasp.org/images/7/74/Advanced\_SQL\_ Injection.ppt
- https://nvisium.com/blog/2015/06/17/ advanced-sql-injection.html

## Language Bugs

- bugs/vulnerabilities in frameworks
- bugs/vulnerabilities in web modules or languate interpreter

# Modern Offensive and Defensive Techniques

- ► attacks focus on low-level aspects of a system: hide features, exploit hardware, side channels, protocol design
- assume better/improved applications but imperfect system/protocol/configuration design
- defense takes more time and incurs significant overhead
- battle rages on

# Keywords

- honeypot
- fine-grained ASLR
- SafeStack
- AddressSanitizer
- ► CFI/CPI
- code reuse
- ► ROP, JOP
- data-oriented attacks
- MAC, RBAC
- sandboxing

- Jekyll apps
- jailbreak, rooting
- side channel attacks
- ► IME attack
- Meltdown, Spectre
- ► KPTI
- rowhammer
- connection downgrade
- ▶ POODLE
- blind SQL injection

#### Resources

► see URLs accross slides